

INTERIOR BOARD OF LAND APPEALS

Morgan Richardson Operating Co.

126 IBLA 332 (June 16, 1993)

Title page added by:  
[ibiadecisions.com](http://ibiadecisions.com)

MORGAN RICHARDSON OPERATING CO.

IBLA 90-190

Decided June 16, 1993

Appeal from a decision of the Wyoming State Office, Bureau of Land Management, rejecting competitive oil and gas lease offer WYW 118472.

Affirmed.

1. Oil and Gas Leases: Competitive Leases

Failure by a successful bidder at a competitive lease sale to pay BLM the balance of the bonus bid due within 10 working days of the sale pursuant to provision of 43 CFR 3120.5-2(c) properly resulted in bid rejection and forfeiture of monies previously tendered. See 43 CFR 3120.5-3(a). An assertion that payment was timely mailed in order to comply with the regulatory deadline is not sufficient to establish that payment was timely received by BLM.

APPEARANCES: Peter A. Gowan, Denver, Colorado, Land Manager, for Morgan Richardson Operating Company.

OPINION BY ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE ARNESS

Morgan Richardson Operating Company (Morgan) has appealed from a January 2, 1990, decision by the Wyoming State Office, Bureau of Land Management (BLM), rejecting competitive oil and gas lease offer WYW 118472 for failure to pay the balance of the bonus bid owed on the successful bid within 10 working days of the competitive lease sale. Morgan was the high bidder for parcel WY-8912-032 at an oil and gas lease sale held on December 5, 1989, in Cheyenne, Wyoming. Morgan's competitive oil and gas lease bid receipt, BLM form WY 3120-10 (August 1988), indicates that Morgan submitted a "minimum due" of \$215 on the sale day and that a balance of \$600 was due within 10 working days, or not later than December 19, 1989. The payment had not been received by BLM on January 2, 1990, when the decision here under review was issued.

Together with the receipt submitted on appeal by Morgan, a statement of reasons (SOR) filed in support of Morgan's appeal includes a copy of a Morgan company check in the amount of \$600 made payable to "Bureau of

Land Management" and dated "12-14 1989" with the notation "For Lease # 118472 Parcel #32." It is explained in the SC be a failure by the United States Post Office to deliver the check which we mailed to the Bureau of Land Management on D balance due." See id. at 1. Stating that the company was unaware that payment had not been delivered timely to BLM bef the bid was received, Morgan requests "reinstatement of this lease." Id.

[1] Departmental regulations governing competitive lease sales provide that a successful bidder must submit a first yearly rental, and an administrative fee on the date of the sale. 43 CFR 3120.5-2(b). The balance owing of the bonu submitted within 10 working days after the sale. 43 CFR 3120.5-2(c). Failure to submit the balance of the bonus bid within th result in rejection of the bid and forfeiture of the monies submitted under § 3120.5-2(b)." 43 CFR 3120.5-3(a). See Eastern 123 IBLA 300, 301 (1992); Partnership One, Inc., 119 IBLA 7, 10 (1991). The payment requirement imposed by these regul Id.

The argument advanced by Morgan in support of the appeal taken in this case is self-defeating: it is admitted that due was never received by BLM. Assuming that, as Morgan states, the check was mailed on December 14, 1989, 5 days b it was apparently not timely delivered to BLM. Morgan argues that there was a "failure" by the Post Service to deliver a that the consequence of that failure should be born by the United States rather than by Morgan in this case. Nonetheless, o of delivery thereby assumes the risk that his chosen agent may not deliver the thing that was sent. See Amanda Mining & Ma 42 IBLA 144, 146 (1979), and cases cited therein. Assuming in this case that there was timely transmission of the paymen failure to make timely delivery must be borne by Morgan, who chose to send the payment by an ineffective means. Moreo that payment was timely submitted but lost in the mail does not excuse failure to comply with the mandatory deadline set b of the balance of the amount owed in this case. Id.; and see Eastern American Energy Corp., supra at 301 (timely payment t subject to waiver under Departmental regulations implementing the Federal Onshore Oil and Gas Leasing Reform Act of Stat. 1330-256 (Dec. 22, 1987, amending section 17(b) of the Mineral Leasing Act, 30 U.S.C. § 226(b) (1988)).

We conclude, therefore, that Morgan violated 43 CFR 3120.5-2(c) by failing to make timely payment of the bala at the December 5, 1989, competitive sale. As a consequence, BLM correctly rejected the bid and forfeited the monies previo See 43 CFR 3120.5-3(a).

Therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to the Board of Land Appeals by the Secretary of the Interior, 4  
appealed from is affirmed.

---

Franklin D. Arness  
Administrative Judge

I concur:

126 IBLA 334

---

Bruce R. Harris  
Deputy Chief Administrative Judge